

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/477,572	01/04/2000	PAUL DAVID MOONEY JR.	99-156	9431
22206 7	590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP			MENDEZ, MANUEL A	
BAILEY & TI	PPENS			
THE KENNEDY BUILDING			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800			3763	
TULSA, OK	74103-3318		D	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/477,572	MOONEY, PAUL D	AVID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Manuel Mendez	3763	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
,,,,	— ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 5-8, and 10-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-8 and 10-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National (Stage			
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/477,572

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-8, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feller, Jr., et al., in view of (1) Wenstrom, Jr., (2) Hildwein, et al., (3) Wellner, et al., (4) Termanian, or (4) Bedi, et al., and in further view of Stednitz, et al. The Feller, Jr., et al., patent discloses a body including an interface and a cannula; the cannula extending into and terminating in a vessel; a body for at least partial insertion into the bodily tissue at a point of insertion; the interface being the portion of the body which remains in contact with the bodily tissue adjacent the point of insertion while the device remains inserted in the bodily tissue. The Feller, Jr., et al. patent does not disclose texture on the interface. However, the use of texture on interfaces is conventional in the art as evidenced by the teachings of (1) Wenstrom, Jr., (2) Hildwein, et al., (3) Wellner, et al., (4) Termanian, (5) Bedi, et al., or (6) Stednitz, et al. The cited patents disclose various types of textures use to secure the insertion apparatus to the surrounding tissue ensuring the stability of the apparatus during surgical procedures. Moreover, in column 4, lines 17-21, Stednitz, et al., clearly discloses the benefits of tissue growth in medical devices manufactured of porous materials such as titanium. The specification states: "Titanium is readily acceptable by body tissue as it is

sufficiently porous about its exterior surface to permit bone growth to extend into the surface, thereby providing a more effective stabilization system".

Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, enhancing the structure disclosed in Feller, Jr., et al., with texture to prevent migration from the point of entry would have been considered an obvious in view of the teachings of the above cited references, and specially, the teachings of Stednitz, et al.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feller, Jr., et al., in view of (1) Hiltebrandt, (2) Hunt et al., (3) Bedi et al., (4) Ternamian, (5) Wenstrom, Jr., (6) Ciaglia et al., (7) Ju, or (8) O'Connor et al., and in further view of Stednitz, et al.

The Feller, Jr., et al., patent discloses a stent portion, the stent portion capable or receiving a needle therethrough, the stent portion including an introducer and a cannula through which the needle extends, the introducer including a distal portion and a proximal portion, a segment of the proximal portion for contact with the bodily tissue at the point of insertion. Importantly, the Feller, Jr., et al. patent does not expressly disclose texture comprising of rounded bumps, pointed bumps, and static texture.

However, the use of texture comprising rounded bumps, pointed bumps, and static texture is conventional as demonstrated in the teachings and figures of (1) Hiltebrandt, (2) Hunt et al., (3) Bedi et al., (4) Ternamian, (5) Wenstrom, Jr., (6) Ciaglia et al., (7) Ju, (8) O'Connor et al., and (9) Stednitz, et al. Accordingly, in view of the conventionality of the use of texture, it would have been obvious to modify the cannula structure of the Feller, Jr., et al., patent to include texture enhancements.

Conclusively, the use of texture comprising rounded bumps, pointed bumps, and static texture, would have been considered obvious in view of the conventionality of these enhancements. In relation to cell growth, Stednitz, et al., clearly discloses the benefits of tissue growth in medical devices manufactured of porous materials such as titanium. The specification states: "Titanium is readily acceptable by body tissue as it is sufficiently porous about its exterior surface to permit bone growth to extend into the surface, thereby providing a more effective stabilization system".

Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, enhancing the structure disclosed in Feller, Jr., et al., with texture to prevent migration from the point of entry would have been considered an obvious in view of the teachings of the above cited references, and specially, the teachings of Stednitz, et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

MM